UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,990	06/06/2007	Kei Yoshida	PRZ-33635	4220
97379 <b>Rankin, Hill &amp;</b>	7590 09/07/201 <b>Clark</b> LLP	EXAMINER		
23755 Lorain R	oad, Suite 200		MORRIS, PATRICIA L	
North Olmsted, OH 44070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ishihara@rankinhill.com crockett@rankinhill.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/587,990	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Morris	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
· <del>_</del>	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Get the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3)	6) Other:	atom, approach				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. The variable core created by A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>4</sub> in formula (I) do not belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art. In these claims, the numerous variables and their voluminous complex meanings and their seemingly endless permutations and combinations, make it virtually impossible to determine the full scope and complete meaning of the claimed subject matter.

Group I, the instances wherein  $A_1$ - $A_4$  are carbon and  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  represent non-heterocyclic groups.

Group II, the instances wherein  $A_1$  is nitrogen,  $A_2$ - $A_4$  are carbon and  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  represent non-heterocyclic groups.

Group III, the instances wherein  $A_2$  is nitrogen,  $A_1$ ,  $A_3$  and  $A_4$  are carbon and  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  represent non-heterocylic groups.

Group IV, the instances wherein  $A_3$  is nitrogen,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_4$  are carbon and  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  represent non-heterocyclic groups.

Group V, the instance wherein  $A_1$ - $A_4$  are carbon,  $Q_1$  is pyrrol-2-yl and  $Q_2$  represents non-heterocyclic groups.

Group VI, the instances wherein A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>4</sub> are carbon, Q<sub>1</sub> is pyrazin-2-yl and Q<sub>2</sub> represents

non-heterocyclic groups.

Group VII, the instances wherein  $A_1$ - $A_4$  are carbon,  $Q_1$  is pyrimidin-5-yl and  $Q_2$  represents non-heterocyclic groups.

Group VIII, the instances wherein  $A_1$ - $A_4$  are carbon,  $Q_1$  is furan-2-yl and  $Q_2$  represents non-heterocyclic groups.

Group IX, the instances wherein  $A_1$ - $A_4$  are carbon,  $Q_1$  is thiophen-2-yl and  $Q_2$  represents non-heterocyclic groups .

Group X, any compounds not grouped in above groups since claim 1 is too vague to further group.

Group XI, Claim 13, drawn to multiple uses.

Claim 12 is drawn to non-statutory subject matter and hence, it cannot be grouped at this time. In the event that applicants amend the claim, it will be grouped accordingly.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-X and XI are related as products and multiple uses, In the instant case, the products as claimed can be used in materially different processes as evidenced by applicants' own claims and specification.

Due to the numerous variables in the numerous formulas  $A_1$ - $A_4$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , etc., and their widely divergent meanings, and the numerous uses, a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. Illustrative of different inventive concepts may be made by reference to the compounds in the Examples of the instant application, as for example:

Application/Control Number: 10/587,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

the compounds of

I.compound 1,

II. compound 100,

III. compound 101,

IV. compound 121, etc.,

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. In these claims, the numerous variables in the numerous formulas and their voluminous complex meanings and their seemingly endless permutations and combinations, make it virtually impossible to determine the full scope and complete meaning of the claimed subject matter. The substituents on the structure vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Further, the variable core created by A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>4</sub>, etc., do not even belong to a recognized class of compounds in the art. ccordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

In the event of an election of either Groups I, II, III, IV,V, VI, VII, VIII, XI or X, applicants are required to elect a single compound.

Claims 1-11 and 15-20 will be examined to the extent readable on the elected compounds.

In the event of an election of Group XI, applicants are requested to elect one method of use, *i..e,* insecticide, fungicide, etc.

With the election of a specific exemplified compound, a generic concept, will be identified by the examiner as the inventive group for examination.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,990

Art Unit: 1625

Because these inventions lack unity of invention for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is *presented prior* to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be **allowable**, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b).

Art Unit: 1625

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Applicants are reminded of propriety of process of use claims in consideration of the "reach-through" format, which is drawn to mechanistic, receptor binding or enzymatic functionality. Reach through claims are considered lacking of descriptive and enabling support from the specification. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant may file the divisional subject matter noted in divisional applications. If applicant wishes a generic expression of the elected invention the claims here need be amended to reflect that election.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/587,990 Page 7

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Patricia L. Morris/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

plm

September 1, 2010